

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
8

9 RONALD R. SANTOS,

10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 ISIDRO BACA, et al.,

13 Defendants.

)  
) Case No. 2:11-cv-01251-KJD-NJK  
)


) ORDER  
)

) (Docket Nos. 191, 192, 193)  
)  
)

14 Pending before the Court are Plaintiff's motion to compel discovery, Docket No. 191;  
15 Plaintiff's motion for imposition of sanctions, Docket No. 192; and Plaintiff's motion to hold  
16 Defendant Cole Morrow in contempt of court if non-compliant, Docket No. 193. All three motions  
17 are based upon Plaintiff's assumption that Defendant Morrow will not provide responses to  
18 currently-outstanding discovery requests. "A claim resting upon contingent future events that may  
19 not occur as anticipated, or indeed may not occur at all, is not fit for adjudication." *Texas v. United*  
20 *States*, 523 U.S. 296 (1998) (internal citations omitted). Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's  
21 motions, Docket Nos. 191, 192, and 193, as they are not ripe.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 DATED: October 23, 2015.  
24

25  
26   
27 NANCY J. KOPPE  
28 United States Magistrate Judge